UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,481	07/01/2003	Jimmy Lee Long	ITW-14146	3481	
	590 02/20/200 IONG FLAHERTY &	EXAMINER			
250 PARK AVE NEW YORK, N	NUE, SUITE 825	HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R			
NEW TORK, N	1 101//	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3721			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER'	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	02/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/612,481	LONG, JIMMY LEE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher R. Harmon	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pei - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The right of the maximum statutory period we preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, a received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 6(a). In no event, however, may a rep (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Only be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·						
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December 2006</u> .						
2a)∐ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•							
Clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2-11 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 14-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of 3) Informati	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) b(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/6/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2-3, 6-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schnyder (US 5,813,960).

Schnyder discloses an assembly comprising a generally circular tube 2 made of resilient elastomer forming an airtight outer circumferential boundary 3 (defining a chamber); first and second end cap assemblies 49; fixed structure 1 supporting end cap assemblies and rotatable in relation thereto, including passageway 18 that extends the length; see figure 1, col. 2, lines 37+. The tube comprises a multiplicity of annular transverse cuts 13 in jacket tube 2. Note: jacket tube 2 is constructed as a singular

element comprising ring sections 12 and intermediate rings 13 with a plastic support jacket 14. Thus intermediate rings are considered cuts extending from the interior of the tube as they displace the ring sections 12 from one another and each spacing does not extend to the outer periphery of jacket 14. The end caps are rotatable due to bearings and are considered to provide a substantial airtight interface with the tube.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-5, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schnyder (US 5,813,960).

Regarding the certain materials of the respective end caps, tube, and bearings note that it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the respective end caps of hard molded rubber, tube of a soft closed cell rubber, and the bearings ultra-high molecular weight plastic material.

Art Unit: 3721

6. Claims 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schnyder (US 5,813,960) in view of Maddern et al. (US 5,589,258).

Schnyder does not directly disclose the assembly setup with a hard roller but mentions in use with a printing machine for treating textiles etc. and refers to the assembly used as a K-roll or F-roll (NIPCO); see column 1, lines 10+, etc. Maddern et al. teach a such a NIPCO construction with steel (hard) roll 10 and backing NIPCO roller 12; see figure 7a. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the hard roll assembly as taught by Maddern et al. using the invention to Schnyder as the NIPCO roll in order to provide treatment to web 40.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 14-21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 10/6/06 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Onris Harmon Patent Examiner